

# Survey Responses

09 June 2020 - 02 May 2022

## Chapter 42 Amendments

# Let's Talk Houston

Project: Livable Places



VISITORS					
160					
CONTRIBUTORS			RESPONSES		
11			14		
0	0	11	0	0	14
Registered	Unverified	Anonymous	Registered	Unverified	Anonymous



**Respondent No:** 1

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Mar 19, 2021 17:23:33 pm

**Last Seen:** Mar 19, 2021 17:23:33 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Tracy M. Youngblood

Q2. **Email** TYoungblood@bgeinc.com

Q3. **Phone Number** 2815588700

Q4. **Type your comments here**

What professional organizations has the planning department collaborated with for the proposed technical amendments? Page 5 of 13, Line 6: Reference source of "floodways, 100-year, and 500-year floodplains" Per current FEMA map? Per Chapter 19 Floodplain Ordinance? Page 3 of 13, Line 7: Reference source of "floodways, 100-year, and 500-year floodplains" Per current FEMA map? Page 5 of 13, Line 6: Reference source of "floodways, 100-year, and 500-year floodplains" Per current FEMA map? Page 6 of 13, Lines 19-21: What is the reasoning behind striking chapter 212 references within this paragraph? Page 6 of 13, Lines 29-33: Does this section exclude the Planning Commission from entertaining or granting any variances when located within a Prevailing Building Line or Minimum Lot Size area? Please clarify. Page 9 of 13, Line 1-4: Please clarify the reference to Mason Street as it appears Mason Street falls wholly within the street width exception area...specifically between W. Gray and Westheimer. Page 12 of 13, Line 1: What occurs if / when the street pattern of the General Plan changes? What constitutes a "material" change? Page 12 of 13, Line 7: Insert "recording of the" between "the" and "plat" to provide clarity of the timing of the easement recording in relation to the plat approval / recording process. Page 12 of 13, Lines 10-11: Respectfully request to strike the text "with all-weather road surface" as it appears this is repetitive to the requirements of item #5. Page 12 of 13, Line 12: Spell out HPW (Houston Public Works) Page 12 of 13, Line 12: Add "and" prior to the word "or." Page 12 of 13, Lines 8 & 9: The temporary access easement is unable at times to align with the general plan road network. Dunham Pointe General Plan and corresponding temporary access easements are one example where circumstances related to the railroad crossing forced the temporary access easements to take on a different alignment than the general plan road network. However, each of the Dunham Pointe plant sites will have frontage on a public roadway upon platting and recording of the adjacent road network. Page 12 of 13, Lines 13 & 14: Revise the text to match exactly with the reserve table in 42-190.



**Respondent No:** 2

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Mar 19, 2021 17:40:37 pm

**Last Seen:** Mar 19, 2021 17:40:37 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Randy Jones

---

Q2. **Email** rjones@terravisions.net

---

Q3. **Phone Number** not answered

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

On page 5 Sec. 42-53.(a), the annual calendar should be referenced at more places than only the dept. website. What if the website is down? I think I understand that the website annual calendar may be the "official" calendar, but there should also be a reference to a hard copy at the Planning Dept.

---



**Respondent No:** 3

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Mar 19, 2021 17:48:41 pm

**Last Seen:** Mar 19, 2021 17:48:41 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Sonny Garza

---

Q2. **Email** sonny.garza09@gmail.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** not answered

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

In time for submittal, do we need an explanation/provision for delays or cancelled meetings other than holiday windows?

---



**Respondent No:** 4

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Mar 26, 2021 17:32:13 pm

**Last Seen:** Mar 26, 2021 17:32:13 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** not answered

---

Q2. **Email** not answered

---

Q3. **Phone Number** not answered

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

not answered

---



**Respondent No:** 5

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 05, 2021 09:00:01 am

**Last Seen:** Apr 05, 2021 09:00:01 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Brian Crimmins

---

Q2. **Email** Brian.Crimmins@houstontx.gov

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 8323931044

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

• In subsection (d) – page 12 - do we want the access easement referenced in item (2) to be “in a form approved by the City Attorney”? • Item (1) – spell out general plan • Item (5) may need to be reworded since it looks like is more in plain English then it is in ordinance form. Does HPW requirements mean the IDM? What County requirements? Do we need to spell out TCEQ? What Fire requirements? • Item (6) – A lift station may have a 20’ frontage according to section 42-190 (c).... Is it your intent to make them now be required to have 50’? I recommend you say that the requirement should “meet the minimum street width and minimum frontage requirements otherwise required by this section.”; ROW is plain English... use the corresponding term in Chapter 42 used for ordinance language. Also... 42-190 (c) says it can be on a type 1 permanent access easement. Is it your intent to limit it to a public ROW as stated?

---



**Respondent No:** 6

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 06, 2021 22:50:26 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 06, 2021 22:50:26 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Felicia Robinson

---

Q2. **Email** far\_car2001@yahoo.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 2818149072

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

Alief does not have a flooding problem, after working on this project will it cause any flooding in our area? If you say no, can you present a proposal showing where this will not cause any flooding problems in our future. Thank you.

---



**Respondent No:** 7

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 07, 2021 06:57:50 am

**Last Seen:** Apr 07, 2021 06:57:50 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** jolie craft

---

Q2. **Email** jcraft@vs-eng.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 7137820042

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

The revision to 42-193 (c) 2 does not make any sense. It says do not use the definition of non residential. Then, what do we use? If you are trying to clarify the definition of non-residential, then why don't you revise 42-1?

---





**Respondent No:** 8

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 08, 2021 15:31:57 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 08, 2021 15:31:57 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Debbie Jones

---

Q2. **Email** weht@suddenlink.net

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 2813618055

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

Please keep it a golf course, the flooding is going to be terrible with nothing but concrete. These home were sold as a golf course community, that's the way it should stay..,

---



**Respondent No:** 9

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 08, 2021 16:56:12 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 08, 2021 16:56:12 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Franklin Westmoreland II

---

Q2. **Email** frankiedon@gmail.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 8322336269

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

I like the addition of the 100 and 500 year floodplain information

---



**Respondent No:** 10

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 08, 2021 17:57:49 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 08, 2021 17:57:49 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Amanda Robinson

---

Q2. **Email** amanda.higdon@gmail.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 2816081651

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

I support Chapter 42 and encourage the Planning Commission to adopt it.

---



**Respondent No:** 11

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 09, 2021 04:26:17 am

**Last Seen:** Apr 09, 2021 04:26:17 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Anna Mitchell

---

Q2. **Email** skydawgz13@yahoo.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 6367341223

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

We support these changes! Especially #6 in regard to floodplain information! Thanks

---



**Respondent No:** 12

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 09, 2021 04:50:25 am

**Last Seen:** Apr 09, 2021 04:50:25 am

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Paige Lewis

---

Q2. **Email** lpfuller@gmail.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 2816381792

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

Glad to see flood plains will be taken into consideration. This is absolutely necessary. I support any and all means to intentionally act in prevention of flooding. My home flooded for the first time ever in September 2019 and it almost flooded in May 2019 as well. This is necessary as landscape changes slowly over time and we need to be vigilant as a community that we aren't setting ourselves up for disaster.

---



**Respondent No:** 13

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 13, 2021 13:33:22 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 13, 2021 13:33:22 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Craig Stone

---

Q2. **Email** traviscounty@yahoo.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** 8327134602

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

Why isn't this committee addressing anything related to existing sidewalks that are no longer up to code? There is no enforcement mechanism to compel a property owner to repair their existing sidewalk. Why not? The Ordinance is clear that it's the property owner's responsibility but without any enforcement, we'll never have compliance and achieve a safe, walkable city. It's quite frustrating given that there are other ordinances with inspection and code enforcement related to trash, parked vehicles and unkept yards. Why not sidewalks? This city could be so much cleaner and safe with better sidewalks!!!

---



**Respondent No:** 14

**Login:** Anonymous

**Email:** n/a

**Responded At:** Apr 20, 2021 15:42:22 pm

**Last Seen:** Apr 20, 2021 15:42:22 pm

**IP Address:** n/a

Q1. **Name** Rachel

---

Q2. **Email** r.organized@gmail.com

---

Q3. **Phone Number** not answered

---

Q4. **Type your comments here**

Where can residents look for information/research on the impact of these policies on speculation by REITs or other predatory landlords?

---

